AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	District of Delaware
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
	V. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Carlos Gonzalez-Ortiz Defendant Case CLO
	cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the of the defendant pending trial in this case.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a
 (3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
 (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assur the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
. ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
I find	Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepor

derance of the evidence: Defendant did not contest detention, but reserved the right to do so in the future which was granted. In addition, defendant was convicted of receiving stolen property in 2007, however, prior to that conviction and for that offense, he had a capias issued for FTA. In March 2008, defendant was convicted of unlawful sexual contact 1st degree and endangering the welfare of a child – charges that involved an 8 year old girl for which he received credit for time served and probation. These incidents show that he in a risk of flight and danger to the community.



	Case 1:08-cr-0000	4-JJF	Document 8	Filed 03/24/2008	Page 2 of 2
S AO 472 (Re	v. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending T	T ri al			
		Part III-	—Directions Regar	ding Detention	
		f the Attorne	y General or his design	ated representative for confine	ement in a corrections facility separate, I. The defendant shall be afforded a
reasonable opp	portunity for private consultation	with defens	se counsel. On order	of a court of the United States	s or on request of an attorney for the all for the purpose of an appearance in
	th a court proceeding.	ons facility	unan denver the desent	The state of the control of the states of th	and for the purpose of an appearance in
	March 14, 2008			La Jun Chan	
	Date		,	Signature of Judicial Office Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate J	
				Name and Title of Judicial (
	icable: (a) Controlled Substances ection 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (2)			Controlled Substances Impor	t and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et
224.7, 22 (2) 22					
٠.					
					: .
· · ·					1.
· · ·					1.
· · ·					1.